

String backgrounds and LCFT

Konstadinos Sfetsos

Department of Engineering Sciences, University of Patras

26110 Patras, Greece

`sfetsos@mail.cern.ch, des.upatras.gr`

Abstract

We describe a large class of exact string backgrounds with a null Killing vector arising, via a limiting à la Penrose procedure, from string backgrounds corresponding to coset conformal field theories for compact groups G_N/H_N times a free time-like boson $U(1)_{-N}$. In this way a class of novel logarithmic conformal field theories (LCFT) emerges, that includes the one constructed recently as an $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit of the $SU(2)_N/U(1) \times U(1)_{-N}$ theory. We explicitly give the exact operator algebra for the basic chiral fields as well as their representation in terms of free bosons, even though these are not known in general at finite N . We also compute four-point functions of various operators in the theory. For the cases of the four- and five-dimensional models, corresponding to a limit of the theory $SO(D+1)_N/SO(D)_N \times U(1)_{-N}$ for $D = 3$ and 4 , we also present the explicit expressions for the background fields.

1 Introduction

It was recently realized that novel logarithmic conformal field theories arise in the large level limit of coset conformal field theories for compact groups combined with a free time-like boson [1]. The example considered in [1] corresponds to the $SU(2)_N/U(1)_N \times U(1)_{-N}$ theory at $N \rightarrow \infty$ (taken in a correlated way) and has the space-time interpretation of a three-dimensional plane wave. At the level of the string background fields, this procedure corresponds to a Penrose-type limit [2]. At the level of the chiral algebra involving the compact parafermions [3] and the $U(1)$ -current corresponding to the free time-like boson, one forms linear combinations of the fields which have well defined operator algebra when $N \rightarrow \infty$ and as it turns out they define a logarithmic conformal field theory.¹ This limiting procedure² has already appeared in string theory some years ago where was used to construct plane wave solutions starting from WZW model current algebra theories or gauged WZW model coset theories [14]-[17]. The same results can also be obtained by working directly with non-semisimple groups [18]-[23], which however, as it turned out in all known cases, correspond to contractions of direct products groups.

The essential reason that a logarithmic structure for the conformal field theory arose in [1] is that the original $SU(2)_N/U(1)_N \times U(1)_{-N}$ theory contains as basic chiral operators the lowest parafermions with conformal dimension $1 - 1/N$ as well as the $U(1)$ current, corresponding to the free time-like boson, with dimension exactly 1 for all N . Hence, although classically both operators have dimension 1, quantum mechanically this dimension is protected only in the case of the $U(1)$ current. The remnant of this, in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, is the fact that among the three basic fields that emerge, two are Virasoro primaries, but one of the them has the third field as, its so-called, logarithmic partner, which is not a Virasoro primary. It is worth here to make the distinction that, such logarithmic conformal field theories did not occur in the similar contraction of current algebra theories [15, 16] since the combination of currents that one forms in order to take the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, has well defined conformal dimension 1 for all N .

¹These theories originated in the work of [4, 5] and have been the subject of intense research over the past few years for various diverse reasons [6]-[9], starting with condensed matter physics [10, 11] (for a recent review see [12] and references therein).

²We note that it is similar to what is called Saletan contraction for Lie algebras (see, for instance, [13]) that differs from the more popular Inonu-Wigner contraction which in our case would have led to flat space-times and trivial conformal field theories.

The purpose of the present paper is to investigate general higher dimensional theories corresponding to coset models for compact groups $G_N/H_N \times U(1)_{-N}$ in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$. Unlike the three-dimensional example of [1] we do not have a well studied theory for the non-abelian parafermions that also form the basic chiral operators in this case and we do not know their operator product algebra unambiguously. However, guided by their well known classical Poisson algebra constructed in [24] and the insight gained from the example of [1], we will develop a free field representation in terms of bosons. We will also explicitly construct the operator product algebra and compute the four-point functions of the basic operators in the theory. We emphasize that this is done without the prior knowledge of these at finite N . We will find out that the space-time metric of the string background is not in general a plane wave, but it belongs to the more general class of metrics with a null Killing vector (for various aspects concerning such backgrounds, see [25]-[30]) in which plane waves form a particular subclass. This will be demonstrated explicitly for the four- and five- dimensional string backgrounds corresponding to the limits of the $SO(D+1)_N/SO(D)_N \times U(1)_{-N}$ theories for $D = 3$ and 4 .

The organization of this paper is as follows: In section 2 we define our models and treat them at the semi-classical level. In section 3 we develop generally and systematically the free field representation of the various operators at the quantum level and also compute their four-point functions. In section 4 we present the explicit string backgrounds for some four- and five-dimensional examples and finally end the paper in section 5 with concluding remarks.

2 General models; semi-classical treatment

We start with the coset conformal field theory model G_N/H_N at level N for a general compact group G and its subgroup H . The Lagrangian description of such models is given in terms of the corresponding gauged WZW model action [31]. The basic chiral operators in this theory are the parafermions which we will denote by ψ_α , where the index α takes values over the coset space G/H , i.e. $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \dim(G/H)$. At the classical level in the $1/N$ expansion they obey a Poisson bracket algebra computed in [24]. In a real basis for the parafermions, it reads (the world-sheet light-cone variable σ_- as the “time”)

$$\{\psi_\alpha, \psi_\beta\} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta'(\sigma_+ - \sigma'_+) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} f_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \psi_\gamma(\sigma'_+) \delta(\sigma_+ - \sigma'_+)$$

$$-\frac{\pi}{2N}f_{c\alpha\gamma}f_{c\beta\delta}\epsilon(\sigma_+-\sigma'_+)\psi_\gamma(\sigma_+)\psi_\delta(\sigma'_+) , \quad (1)$$

where early latin indices take values over the subgroup H , i.e. $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \dim(H)$. We have assumed in writing Poisson brackets that the first parafermion is evaluated at σ_+ and the second at σ'_+ , whereas the σ_- dependence is common in both of them. We have also denoted the antisymmetric step function by $\epsilon(x) = 1$ (-1) for $x > 0$ ($x < 0$) and by $\delta(x)$ and $\delta'(x)$ the usual δ -function and its derivative. In the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ the parafermions become free bosons as one can easily see. Nevertheless we will see that by taking a correlated large $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit involving the $U(1)$ current for the extra time-like boson we will be left with a non-trivial algebra. We will denote by J_0 the generator corresponding to the extra time-like $U(1)_{-N}$ factor with Poisson bracket

$$\{J_0, J_0\} = \frac{N}{\pi}\delta'(\sigma_+-\sigma'_+) . \quad (2)$$

For our purposes we separate one of the parafermions, say ψ_0 and split the coset index as $\alpha = (0, i)$, where $i = 1, 2, \dots, \dim(G/H) - 1$. The non-vanishing structure constants in this basis can be

$$f_{ijk} , \quad f_{cij} , \quad f_{ij0} = f_{ij} , \quad f_{ci} = f_{ci0} . \quad (3)$$

Then we change basis for the operators as

$$\Phi = \frac{\alpha}{N}(\sqrt{N}\psi_0 - J_0) , \quad \Psi = \sqrt{N}\psi_0 + J_0 , \quad P_i = \sqrt{\alpha}\psi_i , \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \dim(G/H) - 1 , \quad (4)$$

where α is a free parameter (not to be confused with the coset theory index) and take the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$. This is singular in the sense that the above change of basis is not invertible. However, as in [1] we find that the contracted Poisson-bracket algebra for the $\dim(G) + 1$ generators (Φ, Ψ, P_i) is well defined in that limit and reads

$$\begin{aligned} \{\Phi, \Phi\} &= 0 , \\ \{\Phi, \Psi\} &= -\frac{2\alpha}{\pi}\delta'(\sigma_+-\sigma'_+) , \\ \{\Psi, \Psi\} &= -\frac{\pi}{2\alpha}f_{ci}f_{cj}P_i(\sigma_+)P_j(\sigma'_+)\epsilon(\sigma_+-\sigma'_+) , \\ \{P_i, P_j\} &= -\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\delta_{ij}\delta'(\sigma_+-\sigma'_+) - \frac{1}{2}f_{ij}\Phi(\sigma'_+)\delta(\sigma_+-\sigma'_+) \\ &\quad - \frac{\pi}{8\alpha}f_{ci}f_{cj}\Phi(\sigma_+)\Phi(\sigma'_+)\epsilon(\sigma_+-\sigma'_+) , \\ \{\Phi, P_i\} &= 0 , \\ \{\Psi, P_i\} &= -f_{ij}P_j(\sigma'_+)\delta(\sigma_+-\sigma'_+) + \frac{\pi}{4\alpha}f_{ci}f_{cj}P_j(\sigma_+)\Phi(\sigma'_+)\epsilon(\sigma_+-\sigma'_+) . \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Notice that among the non-vanishing structure constants in (3), f_{ijk} and f_{cij} do not explicitly appear in (5). We also note the form of the algebra in the particular case of the model $SO(D+1)_N/SO(D)_N \times U(1)_{-N}$. Since the coset factor is a symmetric space we have that $f_{ij} = f_{ijk} = 0$ and also for this particular coset case according to our normalizations $f_{ci}f_{cj} = \delta_{ij}$. Hence (5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\Phi, \Phi\} &= 0 , \\
\{\Phi, \Psi\} &= -\frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \delta'(\sigma_+ - \sigma'_+) , \\
\{\Psi, \Psi\} &= -\frac{\pi}{2\alpha} P_i(\sigma_+) P_i(\sigma'_+) \epsilon(\sigma_+ - \sigma'_+) , \\
\{P_i, P_j\} &= -\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \delta_{ij} \delta'(\sigma_+ - \sigma'_+) - \frac{\pi}{8\alpha} \delta_{ij} \Phi(\sigma_+) \Phi(\sigma'_+) \epsilon(\sigma_+ - \sigma'_+) , \\
\{\Phi, P_i\} &= 0 , \\
\{\Psi, P_i\} &= \frac{\pi}{4\alpha} P_i(\sigma_+) \Phi(\sigma'_+) \epsilon(\sigma_+ - \sigma'_+) .
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

We emphasize that, unlike the parafermionic Poisson bracket algebra (1), the algebra (5) (and obviously (6)) is exact since the parameter α can be set to any value by appropriate rescalings of the generators. Therefore without loss of generality we choose to set $\alpha = 1$ in the rest of the paper. In order to construct the operator product expansions for the basic operators in these models it will be useful to construct first a representation for them in terms of free bosons. A priori it is not obvious how this can be found since for general groups G and H there is no such free field representation for the parafermions of the coset model theory G_N/H_N at finite N . However, one can use the fact that for the case of the coset $SU(2)_N/U(1)_N$ such a free field representation is well known.

3 Operator algebra, free fields and correlators

The free field realization of the theory obtained from the correlated $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit on the $SU(2)_N/U(1)_N \times U(1)_{-N}$ theory has been given in [1]. We repeat its derivation here by including some details necessary for our present purposes. In this case we have three operators obeying the algebra [1] (we focus to the holomorphic part of the theory)

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi(z)\Phi(w) &= \frac{1}{(z-w)^2} + \mathcal{O}(1) , \\
\Psi(z)\Psi(w) &= \frac{\ln(z-w)}{(z-w)^2} + 2 \ln(z-w) :P^2(w): + \frac{1}{2} \ln^2(z-w) : \Phi^2(w) : + \mathcal{O}(1) ,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Psi(z)P(w) = -\ln(z-w):(P\Phi)(w): + \mathcal{O}(1) , \quad (7)$$

$$P(z)P(w) = \frac{1}{2(z-w)^2} + \frac{1}{2}\ln(z-w):\Phi^2(w): + \mathcal{O}(1) .$$

We also note that the fields Φ and P are primaries of the Virasoro stress energy tensor of the theory with conformal dimensions one, whereas the field Ψ is the logarithmic partner of Φ and obeys the operator product

$$T(z)\Psi(w) = \frac{\Psi(w) - \Phi(w)/2}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{\partial\Psi(w)}{z-w} + \mathcal{O}(1) , \quad (8)$$

which is a generic characteristic of logarithmic conformal field theories (see, for instance, [12]). In order to reproduce this operator algebra we start from the free field representation of the coset model $SU(2)_N/U(1)_N$ at finite N which is known [32]. Introducing two real free bosons,

$$\langle\phi_i(z)\phi_j(w)\rangle = -\delta_{ij}\ln(z-w) , \quad i, j = 1, 2 , \quad (9)$$

one may represent the elementary parafermion currents as³

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(-\sqrt{1+2/N} \partial\phi_1 + i\partial\phi_2 \right) e^{+\sqrt{2/N} \phi_2} , \\ \psi_1^\dagger &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\sqrt{1+2/N} \partial\phi_1 + i\partial\phi_2 \right) e^{-\sqrt{2/N} \phi_2} . \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

We will denote the time-like free boson for the $U(1)_{-N}$ factor by ϕ_0 . It obeys

$$\langle\phi_0(z)\phi_0(w)\rangle = \ln(z-w) , \quad (11)$$

and the current itself is given by

$$J_0 = i\sqrt{\frac{N}{2}}\partial\phi_0 . \quad (12)$$

Note that in order for the parafermions ψ_1 and $\psi_1^\dagger$ to be complex conjugate fields of each other and the current J_0 to be hermitian, the bosons ϕ_0 , ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are antihermitian. Hence, unlike the previous section where we used a real basis for the classical parafermions, here we use a complex basis. The stress-energy tensor of the entire theory is

$$T(z) = \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi_0)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi_1)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi_2)^2 - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2(N+2)}}\partial^2\phi_1 \quad (13)$$

³All expressions appearing in the rest of this paper to involve products of free bosons and their derivatives, are understood as being properly normal ordered.

and corresponds to a central charge $c = 3N/(N + 2)$. Let us now consider the scalar field redefinition

$$\phi_+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N}}(\phi_0 + \phi_1) , \quad \phi_- = \sqrt{\frac{N}{2}}(\phi_0 - \phi_1) , \quad \phi_2 = \phi , \quad (14)$$

Then, the new set of scalars obey

$$\langle \phi_+(z) \phi_-(w) \rangle = -\langle \phi(z) \phi(w) \rangle = \ln(z - w) \quad (15)$$

and have zero correlators otherwise. Then we make the field redefinitions

$$\Phi = \frac{i}{2\sqrt{N}}(\psi_1 - \psi_1^\dagger) - \frac{1}{N}J_0 , \quad \Psi = \frac{i}{2}\sqrt{N}(\psi_1 - \psi_1^\dagger) + J_0 , \quad P = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_1 + \psi_1^\dagger) , \quad (16)$$

which mix the parafermions with the $U(1)$ current.⁴ Then in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ we find the expansion

$$\psi_1 = -\frac{\sqrt{N}}{2}\partial\phi_+ + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i\partial\phi - \phi\partial\phi_+) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\partial\phi_- + i\phi\partial\phi - \frac{1}{2}(\phi^2 + 1)\partial\phi_+\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) , \quad (17)$$

whereas the similar expression for $\psi_1^\dagger$ can be found by complex conjugation. Using (16) we find that in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &= -\frac{i}{2}(\phi^2 + 1)\partial\phi_+ - \phi\partial\phi + i\partial\phi_- , & \Phi &= -i\partial\phi_+ , \\ P &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i\partial\phi - \phi\partial\phi_+) . \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

We can now verify that these obey the operator product expansions in (7). Also, the stress-energy tensor (13) of the theory becomes in this limit

$$T(z) = \partial\phi_+\partial\phi_- - \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{i}{2}\partial^2\phi_+ \quad (19)$$

and corresponds to a central charge $c = 3$ theory. This form of the energy momentum tensor can also be obtained by demanding that the operators Φ, Ψ and P , in the free field representation (18), have the correct operator product expansion with $T(z)$. In particular, notice the presence of the background charge along the null direction.⁵ This term does

⁴Compared to (4) one notices that the real parafermions ψ_0 and ψ_1 are related to the complex conjugate ones ψ_1 and $\psi_1^\dagger$ of this section as $\psi_0 \rightarrow \frac{i}{2}(\psi_1 - \psi_1^\dagger)$ and $\psi_1 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(\psi_1 + \psi_1^\dagger)$.

⁵Another way to derive (19) is to note that the stress energy tensor of the theory takes the form

$$T(z) = \Psi\Phi - \frac{1}{2}\Phi^2 + P^2 , \quad (20)$$

which can be proven using the parafermion algebra. Substituting the expressions (18) we prove (19). The background charge term arises by taking into account the effect of normal ordering.

not contribute to the central charge of the theory, but it is crucial in verifying that in the representation (18) the field Ψ is the logarithmic partner of Φ and obeys (8). We also note for completeness that, had we interchanged in (14) the bosonic fields ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 would not have led to a consistent limit for the free field theory representation of (10) and (12). In that sense we consider the free field representation (18) as rather unique, up to local field redefinitions.⁶

Next we give the free field representation for the case of the model obtained in the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit of the $SO(D+1)_N/SO(D)_N \times U(1)_{-N}$ theory. We introduce $D+1$ free bosons denoted by ϕ_+ , ϕ_- and ϕ_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, D-1$ which obey

$$\langle \phi_+(z)\phi_-(w) \rangle = \ln(z-w) , \quad \langle \phi(z)\phi(w) \rangle = -\delta_{ij} \ln(z-w) , \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, D-1 \quad (21)$$

and have zero correlators otherwise. Consider then the representation

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &= -\frac{i}{2}(\phi_j\phi_j + D-1)\partial\phi_+ - \phi_j\partial\phi_j + i\partial\phi_- , & \Phi &= -i\partial\phi_+ , \\ P_j &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i\partial\phi_j - \phi_j\partial\phi_+) , & j &= 1, 2, \dots, D-1 , \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where the presence of the constant $D-1$ in the expression for Ψ is necessary in order to obtain the correct operator product algebra in (24) below.⁷ The stress-energy tensor of the theory with respect to which Φ and P_i are Virasoro primaries and Ψ is the logarithmic partner of Φ is

$$T(z) = \partial\phi_+\partial\phi_- - \frac{1}{2}\partial\phi_i\partial\phi_i - \frac{i}{2}(D-1)\partial^2\phi_+ \quad (23)$$

and the corresponding central charge is $c = D+1$. Using the free field representation (22) we compute the operator product expansions

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(z)\Phi(w) &= \frac{1}{(z-w)^2} + \mathcal{O}(1) , \\ \Psi(z)\Psi(w) &= (D-1)\frac{\ln(z-w)}{(z-w)^2} + 2\ln(z-w):(P_iP_i)(w): \\ &\quad + \frac{D-1}{2}\ln^2(z-w):\Phi^2(w): + \mathcal{O}(1) , \\ \Psi(z)P_i(w) &= -\ln(z-w):(P_i\Phi)(w): + \mathcal{O}(1) , \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

⁶For completeness we also note here that the free field representation for the current algebra corresponding to the four-dimensional plane wave of [18], which was constructed in [35], can also be obtained as a limit of the free field representation of the $SU(2)_N \times U(1)_{-N}$ current algebra.

⁷In particular, the presence of this constant is required for the absence of a second order pole with a c -number coefficient in the operator product expansion of Ψ with itself.

$$P_i(z)P_j(w) = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{2(z-w)^2} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}\ln(z-w) : \Phi^2(w) : + \mathcal{O}(1) ,$$

which are nothing but the exact operator product expansion algebra corresponding to the Poisson brackets (6). Notice also that for $D = 2$ this becomes the operator algebra (7) as it should be.

3.1 Correlation functions

It is possible to compute correlation functions for our theories. The non-zero two-point functions are immediately read off from the operator algebra (24). In general, it can be shown that all odd-point functions vanish and in addition all $2n$ -point functions involving more than n insertions of the field Φ also vanish. Computing four-point functions among the logarithmic partners Φ and Ψ gives (we use below the notation $z_{ij} = z_i - z_j$)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi(z_1)\Phi(z_2)\Phi(z_3)\Psi(z_4) \rangle &= 0 , \\ \langle \Phi(z_1)\Phi(z_2)\Psi(z_3)\Psi(z_4) \rangle &= \frac{1}{z_{13}^2 z_{24}^2} + \frac{1}{z_{14}^2 z_{23}^2} , \\ \langle \Phi(z_1)\Psi(z_2)\Psi(z_3)\Psi(z_4) \rangle &= (D-1) \left(\frac{\ln z_{34}}{z_{12}^2 z_{34}^2} + \frac{\ln z_{24}}{z_{13}^2 z_{24}^2} + \frac{\ln z_{23}}{z_{14}^2 z_{23}^2} \right) , \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

which is in agreement with general expectations for four-point functions of logarithmic partners in logarithmic conformal field theories [12]. We may also show that the four-point function having only the logarithmic field Ψ appearing, assumes the form given in the literature [12]. These results agree with those obtained for the $D = 2$ case in [1], which therefore captures the essential characteristics of these correlators.

It is interesting to consider also correlation functions containing the primary field P_i as well. A group theory argument shows that if such correlators contain an odd number of P_i 's then they are necessarily zero. Restricting to four-point functions we find for the (potentially) non-zero ones

$$\langle P_i(z_1)P_j(z_2)P_k(z_3)P_l(z_4) \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl}}{z_{12}^2 z_{34}^2} + \frac{\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}}{z_{13}^2 z_{24}^2} + \frac{\delta_{il}\delta_{jk}}{z_{14}^2 z_{23}^2} \right) ,$$

$$\langle P_i(z_1)P_j(z_2)\Phi(z_3)\Psi_4(z_4) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta_{ij}}{z_{12}^2 z_{34}^2} , \tag{26}$$

$$\langle P_i(z_1)P_j(z_2)\Phi(z_3)\Phi_4(z_4) \rangle = 0 . \tag{27}$$

The expression for the four-point function $\langle P_i(z_1)P_j(z_2)\Psi(z_3)\Psi_4(z_4) \rangle$, which is also non-

vanishing, is quite lengthy so that we give it separately

$$\langle P_i(z_1)P_j(z_2)\Psi(z_3)\Psi_4(z_4)\rangle = \delta_{ij} \times \left[\frac{D-1}{2} \frac{\ln z_{34}}{z_{12}^2 z_{34}^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{z_{13} z_{14} z_{23} z_{24}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{z_{13}^2 z_{24}^2} \ln \left(\frac{z_{34} z_{12}}{z_{23} z_{14}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{z_{14}^2 z_{23}^2} \ln \left(\frac{z_{34} z_{12}}{z_{24} z_{13}} \right) \right] \quad (28)$$

This expression has the correct behaviour for $z_1 \rightarrow z_2$ and $z_1 \rightarrow z_3$ according to the operator algebra (24). In particular, we find that for $z_1 \rightarrow z_3$ the correlator behaves correctly as $\ln z_{13}$ and not as apparently looks like, i.e. $1/z_{13}^2$.

For more general coset models which do not correspond to symmetric spaces and have, in the notation of (3), the structure constants $f_{ij} \neq 0$ and (or) $f_{ijk} \neq 0$, constructing the free field representation of the various fields is a bit more involved and will not be presented here.

4 Four- and five-dimensional examples

Let us consider in some more detail the $D+1$ string backgrounds arising from the $SO(D+1)_N/SO(D)_N \times U(1)_{-N}$ theories at $N \rightarrow \infty$. The lowest dimensional of these models, corresponding to $D=2$, represents a plane wave background and is the prototype example for the logarithmic conformal field theories that arose in this limit. Here we will consider the cases with $D=3$ and 4 where the backgrounds corresponding to the coset non-trivial factor have been worked out in the literature explicitly. We will see that, the resulting geometries, although they have a null Killing vector, are not plane waves.

For the case of $SO(4)_N/SO(3)_N \times U(1)_{-N}$ the metric and dilaton are [33]⁸

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N} ds^2 &= -dt^2 + d\theta^2 + \frac{\cot^2 \theta}{\cos^2 \omega} d\phi^2 + \tan^2 \theta (d\omega + \tan \omega \cot \phi d\phi)^2, \\ e^{-2\Phi} &= e^{-2\Phi_0} \sin^2 2\theta \sin^2 \phi \cos^2 \omega, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

whereas the antisymmetric tensor is zero.⁹ We consider the redefinitions

$$\theta = \frac{1}{N} v + u, \quad t = u, \quad \phi = \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{N}}, \quad \omega = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \frac{\rho_1}{\rho} \quad (30)$$

⁸These backgrounds were given for non-compact versions of these cosets, i.e. $SO(2,2)_{-N}/SO(2,1)_{-N}$ etc. Analytically continuing to the present compact coset case is trivial.

⁹This is true for the general $SO(D+1)_N/SO(N)_N$ coset model.

and then take the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$. We find the metric and dilaton

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= 2dudv + \cot^2 u d\rho^2 + \frac{\tan^2 u}{\rho^2} d\rho_1^2, \\ e^{-2\Phi} &= e^{-2\Phi_0} \rho^2 \sin^2 2u. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where we have also redefined the constant $e^{2\Phi_0} \rightarrow \frac{1}{N} e^{2\Phi_0}$. Note that this background has the null Killing vector $\partial/\partial v$, but it is not a plane wave since it depends explicitly on a variable other than u . It is worth noticing that it is related via T-duality to the four-dimensional plane wave background

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= 2dudv + \cot^2 u (dx_1^2 + dx_2^2), \\ e^{-2\Phi} &= e^{-2\Phi_0} \sin^4 u. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

In order to show that we first perform to the background (31) a T-duality transformation with respect to the Killing vector $\partial/\partial \rho_1$. The resulting metric has a two-dimensional transverse space of the form $d\rho^2 + \rho^2 d\rho_1^2$ which is locally the metric for $\mathbb{R}^2$ in polar coordinates. However, in order to avoid a singularity at $\rho = 0$ (at constant u) one has to compactify the variable ρ_1 to have period 2π . Then, after passing to a Cartesian coordinate system, we obtain (32).

For the case of $SO(5)_N/SO(4)_N \times U(1)_{-N}$ the metric and dilaton are [34]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N} ds^2 &= -dt^2 + d\theta^2 + \cot^2 \theta \left(\frac{d\phi_1^2}{\cos^2 \omega} + \frac{d\phi_2^2}{\sin^2 \omega} \right) \\ &\quad + \tan^2 \theta \left(d\omega - \frac{\tan \omega \sin 2\phi_1 d\phi_1 + \cot \omega \sin 2\phi_2 d\phi_2}{\cos 2\phi_1 - \cos 2\phi_2} \right)^2, \\ e^{-2\Phi} &= e^{-2\Phi_0} \sin^2 2\theta \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 2\omega (\cos 2\phi_1 - \cos 2\phi_2)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

We consider the redefinitions

$$\theta = \frac{1}{N} v + u, \quad t = u, \quad \phi_1 = \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{N}}, \quad \phi_2 = \frac{\rho_2}{N}, \quad \omega = \sqrt{\frac{\rho_1 - \rho_2^2}{N\rho^2}} \quad (34)$$

and then take the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$. We find the metric and dilaton

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= 2dudv + \cot^2 u \left(d\rho^2 + \frac{\rho^2 d\rho_2^2}{\rho_1 - \rho_2^2} \right) + \tan^2 u \frac{d\rho_1^2}{4\rho^2(\rho_1 - \rho_2^2)}, \\ e^{-2\Phi} &= e^{-2\Phi_0} \rho^2 (\rho_1 - \rho_2^2) \sin^2 u \sin^2 2u. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

with zero antisymmetric tensor and where we have redefined the constant $e^{2\Phi_0} \rightarrow \frac{1}{N^3} e^{2\Phi_0}$. It is obvious that this background is not related via T-duality to a plane wave.

5 Conclusions

We have constructed a novel class of logarithmic conformal field theories corresponding to strings propagating on backgrounds with a null Killing vector. These conformal field theories were obtained as a correlated $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit of the $G_N/H_N \times U(1)_{-N}$ theories for general groups G and H . We have obtained the exact operator product expansion algebra of the basic chiral operators, their representation in terms of free bosons as well as explicit expressions for the four-point functions. We also presented the string backgrounds for four- and five-dimensional examples. An interesting further extension would be to replace the $U(1)_{-N}$ factor by other exact conformal field theories having one time-like variable.

Our logarithmic theories are non-unitary by construction due to the presence of the time variable. An interesting question is whether or not imposing the Virasoro constraints in a covariant approach or by choosing the light-cone gauge we can preserve unitarity in string theory. In addition, the fact that these logarithmic conformal field theories correspond to a large level limit of known coset theories times a free time-like boson, may provide useful information on how to deal with several technical issues concerning logarithmic conformal field theories in general. For instance, how to correctly combine left and right movers in order to construct local field theories. We also think that, whatever progress is made towards understanding issues concerning logarithmic conformal field theories in string theory, will finally shed further light to the recent developments on pp-waves and the AdS/CFT correspondence for highly massive string states [36], as well as to the occurrence of logarithmic behaviour in correlators of gauge theories related to anomalous dimensions and non-protected operators (see, for instance, [37]).

Acknowledgments

- This work was supported in part by the European Research and Training Networks “Superstring Theory” (HPRN-CT-2000-00122) and “The Quantum Structure of Space-time” (HPRN-CT-2000-00131). I also acknowledge support by the Greek State Scholarships Foundation under the contract IKYDA-2001/22, as well as NATO support by a Collaborative Linkage Grant under the contract PST.CLG.978785.
- This paper is dedicated to the memory of the young colleague Sonia Stanciu who got involved and contributed at various stages of her carrier to problems related to plane waves and exact conformal field theories [20, 38].

References

- [1] I. Bakas and K. Sfetsos, *PP-waves and logarithmic conformal field theories*, hep-th/0205006.
- [2] R. Penrose, *Any space-time has a wave as a limit*, Differential Geometry and Relativity, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1976.
- [3] A.B. Zamolodchikov and V.A. Fateev, Sov. Phys. JETP **62** (1985) 215.
- [4] L. Rozansky and H. Saleur, Nucl. Phys. **B376** (1992) 461.
- [5] V. Gurarie, Nucl. Phys. **B410** (1993) 535, hep-th/9303160.
- [6] A. Bilal and I. Kogan, Nucl. Phys. **B449** (1995) 569, hep-th/9503209.
- [7] J.-S. Caux, I. Kogan and A. Tselik, Nucl. Phys. **B466** (1996) 444, hep-th/9511134.
- [8] I. Kogan and A. Nichols, JHEP **0201** (2002) 029, hep-th/0112008.
- [9] A. M. Ghezelbash, M. Khorrami and A. Aghamohammadi, Int.J. Mod. Phys. **A14** (1999) 2581, hep-th/9807034.
- [10] J.L. Cardy, J. Phys. **A25** (1992) L201, hep-th/9111026.
- [11] H. Saleur, Nucl. Phys. **B382** (1992) 486, hep-th/9111007.
- [12] M. Flohr, *Bits and Pieces in Logarithmic Conformal Field Theory*, hep-th/0111228.
- [13] R. Gilmore, *Lie Groups, Lie Algebras and Some of Their Applications*, John Wiley.
- [14] K. Sfetsos, Phys. Lett. **B324** (1994) 335, hep-th/9311010.
- [15] D.I. Olive, E. Rabinovici and A. Schwimmer, Phys. Lett. **B321** (1994) 361, hep-th/9311081.
- [16] K. Sfetsos, Phys. Rev. **D50** (1994) 2784, hep-th/9402031.
- [17] K. Sfetsos and A.A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. **B427** (1994) 245, hep-th/9404063.
- [18] C.R. Nappi and E. Witten, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (1993) 3751, hep-th/9310112.
- [19] N. Mohammadi, Phys. Lett. **B325** (1994) 371, hep-th/9312182.
- [20] J.M. Figueroa-O'Farrill and S. Stanciu, Phys. Lett. **B327** (1994) 40, hep-th/9402035.
- [21] A. Kehagias and P. Meesen, Phys. Lett. **B331** (1994) 77, hep-th/9403041.
- [22] P. Forgacs, P.A. Horvathy, Z. Horvath and L. Palla, Heavy Ion Phys. **1** (1995) 65, hep-th/9503222.
- [23] I. Antoniadis and N. A. Obers, Nucl. Phys. **B423** (1994) 639, hep-th/9403191.

- [24] K. Bardacki, M.J. Crescimanno and E. Rabinovici, Nucl. Phys. **B344** (1990) 344.
- [25] R. Güven, Phys. Lett. **B191** (1987) 275.
- [26] D. Amati and C. Klimcik, Phys. Lett. **B219** (1989) 443;
G. Horowitz and A. Steif, Phys. Lett. **64** (1990) 260 and Phys. Rev. **D42** (1990) 1950.
- [27] H.J. de Vega and N. Sanchez, Nucl. Phys. **B317** (1989) 706 and Phys. Rev. Lett. **65** (1990) 1517.
- [28] J. Garriga and E. Verdaguer, Phys. Rev. **D43** (1991) 391; R.E. Rudd, Nucl. Phys. **B352** (1991) 489 and Nucl. Phys. **B427** (1994) 81, hep-th/9402106;
C. Duval, G.W. Gibbons and P.A. Horvathy, Phys. Rev. **D43** (1991) 3907;
C. Duval, Z. Horvathy and P.A. Horvathy, Phys. Lett. **B313** (1993) 10.
- [29] A.A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. **B390** (1993) 153, hep-th/9209023 and Phys. Rev. **D47** (1993) 3421, hep-th/9211061.
- [30] E.A. Bergshoeff, R. Kallosh and T. Ortin, Phys. Rev. **D47** (1993) 5444, hep-th/9212030.
- [31] D. Karabali, Q.H. Park, H.J. Schnitzer and Z. Yang, Phys. Lett. **B216** (1989) 307;
D. Karabali and H.J. Schnitzer, Nucl. Phys. **B329** (1990) 649.
- [32] A. Gerasimov, A. Marshakov and A. Morozov, Nucl. Phys. **B328** (1989) 664;
D. Nemeschansky, Phys. Lett. **B224** (1989) 121;
J. Distler and Z. Qiu, Nucl. Phys. **B336** (1990) 533;
O. Hernández, Phys. Lett. **B233** (1989) 355.
- [33] I. Bars and K. Sfetsos, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A7** (1992) 1091, hep-th/9110054.
- [34] I. Bars and K. Sfetsos, Phys. Lett. **B277** (1992) 269, hep-th/9111040.
- [35] E. Kiritsis and C. Kounnas, Phys. Lett. **B320** (1994) 264 [Addendum-ibid. **B325** (1994) 536], hep-th/9310202;
E. Kiritsis, C. Kounnas and D. Lust, Phys. Lett. **B331** (1994) 321, hep-th/9404114.
- [36] D. Berenstein, J. Maldacena and H. Nastase, JHEP **0204** (2002) 013, hep-th/0202021.
- [37] M. Bianchi, S. Kovacs, G. Rossi and Y.S. Stanev, JHEP **9908** (1999) 020, hep-th/9906188; M. Bianchi, B. Eden, G. Rossi and Y. S. Stanev, *On operator mixing in $N = 4$ SYM*, hep-th/0205321; G. Arutyunov, S. Penati, A.C. Petkou, A. Santambrogio and E. Sokatchev, *Non-protected operators in $N = 4$ SYM and multiparticle states of AdS_5 SUGRA*, hep-th/0206020.
- [38] J.M. Figueroa-O’Farrill and S. Stanciu, J. Math. Phys. **37** (1996) 4121, hep-th/9506152 and Nucl. Phys. **B458** (1996) 137, hep-th/9506151;
S. Stanciu and A. A. Tseytlin, JHEP **9806** (1998) 010, hep-th/9805006;
J.M. Figueroa-O’Farrill and S. Stanciu, JHEP **0001** (2000) 024, hep-th/9909164.